

Appendix E

	Road	View	Comments	Council response
1	Worcester Villas	Support	Hard to park in road due to number of commuters and visitors	
2	Vallance Road	Object	Park in this area as can't get permit in own zone	Resident has been e-mailed and advised on the times which are available to park in proposed new zone and information on permits for own zone.
			Would like to park in reasonable distance of own home without having to have a permit	as above
			Feels the restrictions restrict freedom of movement and road usage which is already paid in road tax.	See part 3.13 of report
3	Erroll Road	Object	Times of scheme would not operate when there is a problem with parking	Was considered however due to neighbouring CPZ being operational 7 days this may have an impact on this area.
			Would find the cost of a permit prohibitive along with the cost of visitor permits.	See part 3.13 of report
4	Erroll Road	Object	Will not have an affect due to oversubscribed vehicles attached to properties in Erroll Road and adjoining roads of St Leonard's Avenue and Road.	While we cannot guarantee people can park in their own roads, there should be space within the zone to park.
5	St Leonards Avenue	Support	Support scheme but concern with motorcycle parking - would like one in St Leonards Road and believes for them to work they need to be near people's homes.	This will be investigated.
6	St Leonards Avenue	Object	Would like to have a 5 day scheme rather than 7 days - as makes it difficult for people to visit at the weekend.	See part 3.4 of report
			Would like double yellow lines to be used on the dropped kerb for the alley access in St Leonard's Avenue	This will be investigated.
7	Roman Road	Object	Increased cost to residents which is unfair - should not be additional charges to park vehicle where they live, residents should have free permit and paying for road tax as well is unacceptable.	See part 3.13 of report
			Concerns with bins and parking for pedal cycles being placed in road.	
			New developments should have greater parking provision.	
			There isn't a parking issue in their road.	
			Should be greater electric vehicle provision.	This will be investigated.

8	Seaford Road	Support	Support scheme but would need to have two permits for household	Additional permits may be available depending on overall demand.
9	Middleton Avenue	Support	Support scheme but concerned with bays on north side of Glastonbury Road, there is more room but parking here can make visibility difficult, similar situation applies to Middleton Av/Kenton Road, Glastonbury/ Derek, Glastonbury/St Keyna junctions	This will be investigated.
10	Middleton Avenue	Support	Strongly support light touch scheme but concerns over plans for Glastonbury Road for parking on north side. Understands that it provides greater parking area and minimises signs but still have concerns.	This will be investigated.
			Their properties will be surrounded by parking	
			Fronts of houses on north side are closer to road than south so is more visually intrusive.	
			Parking near junction with Middleton Avenue would mean larger vehicles would restrict view of driver turning from Middleton Avenue onto Glastonbury Road (likewise St Keyna onto Glastonbury)	
11	Middleton Avenue	Support	Strongly support light touch scheme but concerns over plans for Glastonbury Road for parking on north side. Understands that it provides greater parking area and minimises signs but still have concerns.	This will be investigated.
			Their properties will be surrounded by parking	
			Fronts of houses on north side are closer to road than south so is more visually intrusive.	
			Parking near junction with Middleton Avenue would mean larger vehicles would restrict view of driver turning from Middleton Avenue onto Glastonbury Road (likewise St Keyna onto Glastonbury)	
12	St Keyna Avenue	Support	Strongly support light touch scheme but concerns over plans for Glastonbury Road for parking on north side. Understands that it provides greater parking area and minimises signs but still have concerns.	
			Their properties will be surrounded by	

			parking	
			Fronts of houses on north side are closer to road than south so is more visually intrusive.	
			Parking near junction with Middleton Avenue would mean larger vehicles would restrict view of driver turning from Middleton Avenue onto Glastonbury Road (likewise St Keyna onto Glastonbury)	This will be investigated.
13	St Keyna Avenue	Support	Strongly support light touch scheme but concerns over plans for Glastonbury Road for parking on north side. Understands that it provides greater parking area and minimises signs but still have concerns.	
			Their properties will be surrounded by parking	
			Fronts of houses on north side are closer to road than south so is more visually intrusive.	
			Parking near junction with Middleton Avenue would mean larger vehicles would restrict view of driver turning from Middleton Avenue onto Glastonbury Road (likewise St Keyna onto Glastonbury)	This will be investigated.
14	St Keyna Avenue	Object	Not required by vast majority of people who live in area and will push the problem to Portslade	See part 3.4 of report
			Should be better park and ride schemes around city	
			Will be financially penalised for having to park additional car outside home.	See part 3.13 of report
			Causes inconvenience and cost for visitors	See part 3.13 of report
15	St Keyna Avenue	Object	Nearly all houses have own driveways, never have a problem parking outside houses, the scheme would inconvenience residents and visitors.	See part 3.4 of report
			Do not want street furniture/machines/road lining in road	Lines and signs are necessary to enforce parking restrictions, there will be no machines as there is no pay and display facilities in St Keyna Avenue
			Do not want to be penalised for blocking drive	The vehicle crossover is public highway and as such there should not be any parking across this. All dropped kerbs are covered by double yellow lines in CPZs.
			Do not want to have to pay	See part 3.13 of report

			Do not want to only be allowed 1 permit	Additional permits may be available depending on overall demand.
			Waste of money and resources - should be to those specific parts of West Hove which need the scheme.	If the western part of West Hove had a scheme it is highly likely that this area, which is sandwiched in between two zones, would then have a displacement parking.
16	New Church Road	Support	Fed up with road being used as a park and ride for people commuting by train and bus	
			Road is used as long term car park for people going on holiday or abandoned	
			South side of Kingsway looks like a scrapyard, people selling cars on Portland Road	
			During day it can be very difficult to find anywhere to park	
17	Outside Zone	Object	No provision for short-term visitors	
			Should be more free time-limited parking in Portland Road and Boundary Road	There is still free limited waiting in Boundary Road and will be some in Glebe Villas, Portland Road and Kingsway. Once the scheme is in place changes can always be made if the balance needs to be improved.
			Displacement of long term parking into adjacent uncontrolled areas and nothing proposed to address this	This is an issue and is very difficult to solve, we endeavour to get a balance for parking when residents have shown a strong support for a scheme.
18	Leicester Villas	Object	Due to double yellow lines being placed across driveways, currently park one car on public highway across drive and other on drive and removal of this would devalue property.	The vehicle crossover is public highway and as such there should not be any parking across this. All dropped kerbs are covered by double yellow lines in CPZs.
19		Object	Feels there isn't a parking problem to require scheme which inconveniences residents and visitors. Thinks currently lots of building work being undertaken with skips and works vehicles.	See part 3.4
			Feels Council budget should be spent on safety of roads and maintenance rather dealing with this minor problem	See part 3.13 of report

			<p>Increasing disabled bays is not required as blue badge holders can park on double yellow lines- better to improve pavements for mobility scooters and disabled people.</p>	<p>Blue badge holders are advised only to park on double yellow lines where it doesn't cause an obstruction, and they can only be parked on for three hours. Residents can apply for a bay outside their own property (which all blue badge holders can park in), a survey is currently being carried out to make sure that these bays are still required and any that are not will be removed.</p>
			<p>Added expense, those in area expected to pay even more on top of council tax for parking which should not be chargeable. Should be free and charges are too high.</p>	<p>See part 3.13 of report</p>
			<p>More Civil Enforcement Officers would add to congestion as they would be travelling constantly to monitor the parking.</p>	<p>This scheme is only in operation for 2 hours which means the main enforcement would be during these times; these patrols are usually on foot.</p>
			<p>Better to make better use of parking and to improve alternatives, bus and train network in area unreliable and expensive, needs to be improved to offer alternative to motorists.</p>	<p>This area of Hove is serviced by many buses on Portland Road, New Church Road and Kingsway; it is also located close to a mainline station.</p>
20		Object		

